

Outcome Report QKFS Service Review (LDC)

PART 1: SERVICE DETAILS

Service Name:	Brisbane City Child Care				
Region:	M	Trim container:	60/10/11802	QGrants Beneficiary Number:	1010016377
Service Contact/s Name & Position:	Samantha Fazldeen – Centre Director				
Service Contact Email:	info@brisbanecitychildcare.com.au				

PART 2: APPROVED PROVIDER DETAILS

Provider/Grantee:	Brisbane City Child Care Pty Ltd				
Approved Provider (AP):	Christopher Buck				
AP Email:	s.47(3)(b) - Contrary to Public				

PART 3: VISIT DETAILS

Visiting Officer(s):	Roseann Finucan and Bronwyn Thomas				
Date and time of Visit:	Tuesday 24 October 2017				

PART 4: SERVICE PARTICIPANTS

Current number of approved places in the LDC service:	147	The age range of children in care at the service:	From 6 weeks
Number of approved kindergarten programs offered at the service:	2	Approved age (under the Law) in the Kindergarten room:	3-4 & -5 yrs

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Y N

OUTCOME

		Y	N	
1	Holds a current Service Approval under the Education and Care Services National Law (Queensland), or the Queensland Licence for a Centre Based Child Care Service, under the Queensland <i>Child Care Act 2002 (or other applicable child care legislation)</i> .	Y		
2	Approved to receive Commonwealth Child Care Benefit (CCB) payments on behalf of eligible children.	Y		
3	Holds an appropriate public liability insurance of at least \$10 million.	Y		
4	Offers a learning program to children in the year prior to Prep (i.e. who are at least 4 years old by 30 June in the year they attend)	Y		In Q3 2017 in Program 2 – Possum Pink, there were some weeks where the Service claimed for a child not of eligible age (too young with a DOB of 06/07/2013.)
5	Offers a learning program for at least 1.5 hours a week for 40 weeks a year.	Y		
6	Offers a learning program for at least 15 hours a week to individual children (including part time attendees).	Y		At the time of the review, SRP Officers were advised that the program was delivered 5 x days per week with different hours per day.
7	The learning program is based on the QKLG or an alternative kindergarten learning guideline which is accredited by the QCAA.	Y		
8	The program is delivered by a qualified early childhood teacher.	2017 2016	Y	At the time of the review it was identified that there were 2 main ECTs for each program – 1 x Q and 1 x S and 1 main programming relief ECT (S). In addition, a further 1 x qualified and 4 x studying towards ECTs were identified post review as relief/coverage as needed.
9	Has demonstrated that the fee structure is not a barrier to access.	Y		(as the room below) less by \$4.00

REQUIREMENTS AS AN APPROVED KPP		Y	N	OUTCOME
---------------------------------	--	---	---	---------

Approved kindergarten program providers must display the following:

1	Kindergarten Program Provider certificate:	Y		
2	Kindergarten program operating times:	Y		
	If yes, were they accurate & correct:	Y		
3	Name, qualifications and teacher registration number (if applicable) of the teacher delivering the kindergarten program:	Y		

APPLICATION OF SUBSIDIES

Standard Subsidy	2017	Quarters included:	Q1 – Q3	Standard Subsidy Paid (\$):	\$40,652.34	
	2016	Quarters included:	All	Standard Subsidy Paid (\$):	\$36,959.05	
	Comments & Qualitative reflection re: room resources:		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SRP Officers noted the resourcing observation as slightly 'above average'. The Centre Director and AP confirmed they use the SS to keep fees low with limited increases along with the purchase of resources as required 			
	Standard Subsidy (SS) Totals Since Commencement of QKFS Funding					
Quarter & Year		Q2 2013 – Q3 2017		Total SS Paid To Date:	\$214,553.45	
QKFS Plus	2017	Quarters included:	Q1 – Q3	QKFS Plus Paid (\$):	\$609.00	
	2016	Quarters included:	All	QKFS Plus Paid (\$):	\$0.00	
	Application of QKFS+		Yes 2017 - paid quarterly (amount split into 2 payments) – PAID IN FULL			
SEIFA	Application of SEIFA:			n/a		
ARIA	Application of ARIA			n/a		

OTHER REQUIREMENTS		Y	N	OUTCOME
--------------------	--	---	---	---------

2	Application of delayed entry and exit	Y		
3	Service is at least working towards National Quality Framework (NQF)	Y		Exceeding (2016)
4	Document and publish their fee information, including structure, cost changes & inclusions	Y		

PART 5: ACTIONS / FOLLOW UP REQUIRED

Post review risk rating: Low **Photographs:** Yes – 17/568971

PART 6: OUTCOME

Does meet the following QKFS eligibility requirements with the following to be noted:

1. Offers a learning program to children in the year prior to Prep (i.e. who are at least 4 years old by 30 June in the year they attend)

In Q3 2017 in Program 2 – Possum Pink, there were some weeks where the Service claimed for a child not of eligible age (too young with a DOB of 06/07/2013). No formal adjustment was required as this was a minor anomaly.

Met all other requirements: YES

Comments/Notes:

- Service should be reminded that as they are in receipt of public money it is important for them to maintain the most exemplary record keeping standards at all times so all parties can be clear and transparent regarding:
 - what is happening at the service delivery level;
 - how each child meets all the eligibility criteria including the 15 hour requirement; and
 - all ECT qualifications including those rostered as the main ECTs and those relieving/covering in both rooms

PART 7: REVIEWING OFFICER DETAILS

Officer:	Rebecca Hall	Date:	05/03/2018
A/Manager, Service Review Team – Kindergarten Funding:	Amy Rees		
Follow up post review finalisation:	n/a		

Sch. 3(2)(1)(c)

Sch. 3(6)(c)(i)

Released under RTI Act by DOE